

STATE OF MAINE  
PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

Docket No. 2001-770

December 14, 2001

CENTRAL MAINE POWER COMPANY  
Request for Approval of Special Rate Contract  
Third Amendment of Customer Service Contract  
With Carrier Chipping

ORDER

WELCH, Chairman; NUGENT and DIAMOND, Commissioners

---

## **SUMMARY OF DECISION**

By this Order, we grant final approval of a Third Amendment to the Customer Service Agreement (CSA) between Central Maine Power Company (CMP) and Carrier Chipping, Inc. (Carrier). This Amendment unbundles Carriers's bundled contract through its expiration in July, 2001.

## **DISCUSSION AND DECISION**

On October 31, 2001, CMP filed with this Commission a proposed Third Amendment to its CSA with Carrier. This Third Amendment unbundles the pricing of Carrier's bundled CSA from the January, 2001 meter read date through the expiration date of the bundled contract, July, 2001 and supercedes a Second Amendment that provided unbundled pricing through December, 2000 (granted final approval by Order of the Commission dated January 10, 2001 in Docket No. 2000-580), a First Amendment that provided unbundled pricing through August 2000 (granted temporary approval by Order of the Acting Director of Technical Analysis dated July 12, 2000) and an Amended and Restated CSA that provided unbundled pricing through May, 2001 (granted final approval by Order of the Commission dated January 10, 2001 in Docket No. 2000-580).

We have reviewed the terms of the Third Amendment and have determined that it is reasonable and complies with 35-A M.R.S.A. § 3204(10). Therefore, we grant final approval of the Third Amendment to the CSA with Carrier Chipping filed by CMP on October 31, 2001.

Dated at Augusta, Maine, this 14<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2001.

BY ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

---

Dennis L. Keschl  
Administrative Director

COMMISSIONERS VOTING FOR:

WELCH  
NUGENT  
DIAMOND

### NOTICE OF RIGHTS TO REVIEW OR APPEAL

5 M.R.S.A. § 9061 requires the Public Utilities Commission to give each party to an adjudicatory proceeding written notice of the party's rights to review or appeal of its decision made at the conclusion of the adjudicatory proceeding. The methods of review or appeal of PUC decisions at the conclusion of an adjudicatory proceeding are as follows:

1. Reconsideration of the Commission's Order may be requested under Section 1004 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (65-407 C.M.R.110) within 20 days of the date of the Order by filing a petition with the Commission stating the grounds upon which reconsideration is sought.
2. Appeal of a final decision of the Commission may be taken to the Law Court by filing, within 30 days of the date of the Order, a Notice of Appeal with the Administrative Director of the Commission, pursuant to 35-A M.R.S.A. § 1320(1)-(4) and the Maine Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 73, et seq.
3. Additional court review of constitutional issues or issues involving the justness or reasonableness of rates may be had by the filing of an appeal with the Law Court, pursuant to 35-A M.R.S.A. § 1320(5).

Note: The attachment of this Notice to a document does not indicate the Commission's view that the particular document may be subject to review or appeal. Similarly, the failure of the Commission to attach a copy of this Notice to a document does not indicate the Commission's view that the document is not subject to review or appeal.